

Constructing Quaternary Carbons from N-(Acyloxy)phthalimide **Precursors of Tertiary Radicals Using Visible-Light Photocatalysis**

Gerald Pratsch, Gregory L. Lackner, and Larry E. Overman*

Department of Chemistry, 1102 Natural Sciences II, University of California, Irvine, California 92697-2025, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Tertiary carbon radicals have notable utility for uniting complex carbon fragments with concomitant formation of new quaternary carbons. This article explores the scope, limitations, and certain mechanistic aspects of Okada's method for forming tertiary carbon radicals from N-(acyloxy)phthalimides by visible-light photocatalysis. Optimized conditions for generating tertiary radicals from N-(acyloxy)-

phthalimide derivatives of tertiary carboxylic acids by visible-light irradiation in the presence of 1 mol % of commercially available Ru(bpy)₃(PF₆)₂, diethyl 1,4-dihydro-2,6-dimethylpyridine-3,5-dicarboxylate (8), and i-Pr₂NEt and their coupling in dichloromethane at room temperature with alkene acceptors were developed. Four representative tertiary N-(acyloxy)phthalimides and 15 alkene radical acceptors were examined. Both reductive couplings with electron-deficient alkenes and radical substitution reactions with allylic and vinylic bromides and chlorides were examined with many such reactions occurring in good yield using only a slight excess (typically 1.5 equiv) of the alkene. In general, the yields of these photocatalytic reactions were higher than the analogous transformations of the corresponding N-phthalimidoyl oxalates. Deuterium labeling and competition experiments reveal that the reductive radical coupling of tertiary N-(acyloxy)phthalimides with electron-deficient alkenes can be terminated by both hydrogen-atom transfer and single-electron reduction followed by protonation, and that this mechanistic duality is controlled by the presence or absence of i-Pr₂NEt.

INTRODUCTION

In one of the earliest applications of visible-light photocatalysis to organic synthesis, Okada and co-workers reported in 1991 the coupling with electron-deficient alkenes of primary, secondary, and tertiary carbon radicals generated from N-(acyloxy)phthalimides upon visible-light irradiation in the presence of catalytic Ru(bpy)₃Cl₂ and 1-benzyl-1,4-dihydronicotinamide (BNAH).^{1,2} The utility of this general method for combining complex carbon fragments has recently been highlighted in several total synthesis investigations in our laboratories.3,4

In our studies, the conditions of Okada were modified to allow the photocatalytic coupling of tertiary carbon radicals to be carried out in nonaqueous solvents. In this article, we provide details of our modification of the Okada method, and a broader survey of the notable utility of this visible-light photocatalytic method for C-C bond formation and the construction of quaternary carbon centers. In particular, we examine a selection of coupling reactions to allow a direct comparison of N-(acyloxy)phthalimides and N-phthalimidoyl oxalates^{5,6} as precursors of tertiary carbon radicals for C-C bond-forming reductive coupling and allylic and vinylic substitution reactions. We also report investigations that identify both hydrogen-atom transfer and single-electron reduction followed by protonation as viable termination steps of these C-C bond-forming coupling reactions.

RESULTS

Synthesis of N-(Acyloxy)phthalimides. We were initially attracted to the use of N-(acyloxy)phthalimide substrates as radical precursors because of their ease of preparation and stability. 1,3 These intermediates are reliably formed by carbodiimide-mediated coupling of carboxylic acids with Nhydroxyphthalimide (Figure 1A). To prepare more sterically demanding substrates, coupling of acid chlorides with the potassium salt of N-hydroxyphthalimide in the presence of a crown ether is most effective (Figure 1B).4 As summarized in Figure 1, N-(acyloxy)phthalimides of variable structural complexity were prepared in high yield by these methods. These radical precursors are typically crystalline solids, which are stable to benchtop storage, ambient light, biphasic aqueous purification conditions, and chromatography on silica gel. In preliminary studies comparing N-(acyloxy)phthalimides to the more conventionally employed Barton esters, we observed that the former exhibit superior stability and are more easily synthesized and handled.

Coupling of N-(Acyloxy)phthalimides with Alkene **Acceptors.** We next evaluated conditions for generating nucleophilic tertiary radicals from these substrates and their coupling with electron-deficient olefins. In the original report, Okada disclosed the coupling of N-(acyloxy)phthalimides with Michael acceptors such as methyl vinyl ketone (MVK) in the

Received: April 9, 2015 Published: June 1, 2015



A. Carbodiimide-mediated coupling

B. Coupling via acid chloride intermediate

Figure 1. Synthesis of *N*-(acyloxy)phthalimides by (A) carbodiimide-assisted coupling, and (B) reaction of an acid chloride intermediate with potassium phthalimide *N*-oxide.

presence of 1 equiv of 1-benzyl-1,4-dihydronicotinamide and the visible light photocatalyst $\operatorname{Ru}(\operatorname{bpy})_3\operatorname{Cl}_2$ in aqueous THF. Although various alkyl radicals were generated and coupled with electron-deficient alkenes under these conditions, the only tertiary radical generated in this way was the atypical 1-adamantyl radical (eq 1). As we anticipated that an aqueous reaction medium might be problematic with highly lipophilic substrates, we chose to explore related nonaqueous reaction conditions initially disclosed by Gagné for the photocatalytic generation of glycosyl radicals from glucosyl halides (eq 2).8 These conditions employ the Hantzsch ester, diethyl 1,4-dihydro-2,6-dimethylpyridine-3,5-dicarboxylate (8), the photocatalyst $\operatorname{Ru}(\operatorname{bpy})_3(\operatorname{BF}_4)_2$, and $i\operatorname{-Pr}_2\operatorname{NE}t$ in dichloromethane as solvent.

Our efforts to optimize the coupling of 1-methyl-1-cyclohexyl N-(acyloxy)phthalimide (4) with methyl vinyl ketone (MVK) quickly identified useful conditions employing 1 mol % of commercially available $Ru(bpy)_3(PF_6)_2$, 1.5 equiv of Hantzsch ester 8, and 2.2 equiv of i- Pr_2NEt in dichloromethane with irradiation at room temperature with blue LEDs. Using these conditions, the coupled product 9 was formed in 85–86% yield (Table 1, entries 1 and 2). Although the coupling of 4 with MVK was complete after stirring for only 1.5 h (entry 2), longer reaction times were not detrimental (entry 1). The

Table 1. Optimization of the Visible-Light Photoredox Coupling of *N*-(Acyloxy)phthalimide 4 with MVK

entry	modification	yield of 9 $(\%)^{a,b}$
1	_	86
2	1.5 h	85
3	CH ₂ Cl ₂ /THF (1:1)	74
4	THF	68
5	i-Pr ₂ NEt (0.2 equiv)	67
6	i-Pr ₂ NEt (1 equiv)	71
7	Hantzsch ester 8 (0.5 equiv)	65
8	Hantzsch ester 8 (1 equiv)	81
9	MVK (1 equiv)	78
10	no light	ND
11	no Hantzsch ester 8	41
12	no i-Pr ₂ NEt	49
13	no photocatalyst (18 h)	61
14	no photocatalyst (2 h)	15

 $^{a}[4]$ = 0.15 M. b Isolated yield after silica gel chromatography. ND = not detected.

inclusion of THF as a solvent, which was found to be beneficial in the visible light photocoupling of related tert-alkyl Nphthalimidoyl oxalates,⁵ did not improve the yield of 9 (entries 3 and 4). Decreasing the excess of Hantzsch ester 8, i-Pr₂NEt, or MVK proved detrimental (entries 5-9), although the reduction in yield using 1 equiv of the Hantzsch ester was minimal (entry 8). The yield of the coupled product 9 was only slightly diminished when equal amounts of the coupling partners were used (entry 9), suggesting that this photocatalytic reaction would be appropriate for uniting structurally complex fragments. In the absence of light, no conversion was observed (entry 10). Omission of either the Hantzsch ester (entry 11) or i-Pr₂NEt (entry 12) resulted in a decreased yield of 9, suggesting that the combination of these reductants was most effective. Significantly, slower reactivity was observed in the absence of the photocatalyst, with a 61% yield of 9 being

obtained after 18 h (entries 13 and 14). A related observation was noted by Okada and co-workers in their initial report.¹

We next examined the reaction of *N*-(acyloxy)phthalimide 4 with a selection of conjugate acceptors to allow *N*-(acyloxy)phthalimides and the analogous *tert*-alkyl *N*-phthalimidoyl oxalates⁶ to be compared as radical precursors under their respective optimized visible-light photoredox coupling conditions. Reaction of *N*-(acyloxy)phthalimide 4 with MVK provided product 9 in 85% yield (Table 2), essentially the same

Table 2. Visible-Light Photocatalytic Reductive Coupling of N-(Acyloxy)phthalimide 4 with Various Electron-Deficient Alkenes

^aIsolated yield after silica gel chromatography. ^bYield measured by NMR relative to an internal standard (1,4-dimethoxybenzene).

efficiency as realized in the reaction of MVK with 1-methyl-1-cyclohexyl N-phthalimidoyl oxalate. However, in the coupling with 2-cyclopentenone, N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 4 gave product 10 in 80% yield, 25% higher than the yield obtained from the corresponding N-phthalimidoyl oxalate. The reaction of N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 4 with benzyl methacrylate provided product 11 in 59% yield, again the yield being considerably higher than that achieved from the corresponding N-phthalimidoyl oxalate (41%). The greatest difference in reactivity between these two classes of tertiary radical precursors was observed in couplings with methacrylonitrile. N-(Acyloxy)phthalimide 4 coupled with this acceptor to give coupled product 12 in 83% yield, while the similar coupling with 1-methyl-1-cyclohexyl N-phthalimidoyl oxalate yielded only trace amounts of 12.

We also examined the reaction of (*N*-acyloxy)phthalimide 4 with styrene (eq 3). In this case, the product of reductive

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} Ph & (1.5 \text{ equiv}) \\ 1 \text{ mol% } Ru(bpy)_3(PF_6)_2 \\ \text{Hantzsch ester 8 (1.5 equiv)} \\ \hline \textbf{4} \\ (1 \text{ equiv}) & i\text{-Pr}_2\text{NEt (2.2 equiv)} \\ \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2, \text{ rt, blue LEDs} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} Ph \\ \text{Me} \\ \text{Me} \\ \text{Me} \\ \text{13 (83\%, dr = 1:1)} \end{array}$$

coupling was not obtained but rather product 13 (83% as a 1:1 mixture of stereoisomers) resulting from the recombination of benzylic radical intermediates. ¹² Identical products were formed from the corresponding *N*-phthalimidoyl oxalate precursor. ⁶ As found in that case, efforts to trap the coupled benzylic radical by modifying the reaction conditions, or by adding common hydrogen-atom transfer reagents such as Bu₃SnH, Et₃SiH, Ph₃SiH, or PhSH, were unsuccessful. ¹³

N-(Acyloxy)phthalimides were also tested as precursors of tertiary radicals in allylation and vinylation reactions. We initiated these studies in a similar fashion by performing control and optimization experiments of the reaction of N-(acyloxy)-phthalimide 4 with α -(bromomethyl)styrene (14) (Table 3).

Table 3. Visible-Light Photoredox Coupling of *N*-(Acyloxy)phthalimide 4 with α -(Bromomethyl)styrene (14)

entry	modification	yield of 15 $(\%)^a$
1	_	74
2	no light	ND^b
3	no Hantzsch ester 8	14
4	no photocatalyst (18 h)	75
5	no photocatalyst (2 h)	11
6	2 h	76
7	acceptor (1 equiv)	70
8	no <i>i</i> -Pr ₂ NEt	48
9	i-Pr ₂ NEt (0.2 equiv)	55
10	<i>i</i> -Pr ₂ NEt (1 equiv)	62

^aIsolated yield after silica gel chromatography. ^bProduct formation after resubjection to light. ND = not detected.

Using the conditions optimized for reductive coupling with electron-deficient alkenes, N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 4 reacted with α -(bromomethyl)styrene (14) to give substitution product 15 in 74% yield (entry 1). No synthetically useful product formation was observed in the absence of light or the Hantzsch ester (entries 2 and 3). In contrast to the related reaction with the tert-alkyl N-phthalimidoyl oxalate precursor, the absence of the Ru(bpy)₃(PF₆)₂, did not diminish the yield of allylation product 15 after 18 h (entry 4). However, entries 5 and 6 show that the reaction without the photocatalyst proceeds considerably slower. The yield of 15 was only slightly lower using 1 equiv of the acceptor (entry 7), again showing that the coupling of valuable fragments likely could be accomplished without the need of an excess of either coupling component. Finally, entries 8 to 10 confirmed that an excess of i-Pr₂NEt led to higher yields, but even without this additive, product 15 was isolated in 48% vield.

With suitable reaction conditions in hand, we explored further the scope of radical substitution reactions of this type (Table 4). α -(Chloromethyl)styrene coupled with N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 4 to give allylation product 15 in 80% yield, which was slightly higher than that realized with the corresponding bromide 14. Methyl 2-(bromomethyl)acrylate and methyl 2-(chloromethyl)acrylate coupled in excellent yield with N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 4 to give product 16. Vinylation reactions with methyl 3-bromoacrylate and β -bromostyrene proceeded in lower yield, but with high (>20:1) E stereoselectivity, to form products 17 and 18, respectively. To realize the moderate yields in these vinylic coupling reactions, 5 equiv of the bromide coupling partner had to be employed. Products resulting from a second addition of the tertiary radical were never isolated, although allylation products 15 and 16 are potential excellent radical acceptors themselves. This selectivity likely results from steric shielding by the quaternary carbon fragment in these products.

Table 4. Substitution Products Formed from Visible-Light Photocatalytic Coupling of Selected Allylic and Vinylic Bromides and Chlorides with N-(Acyloxy)phthalimide 4^a

 a Isolated yield after silica gel chromatography (average of two experiments). b 5 equiv of the halide was used; in other entries 1.5 equiv was used.

To explore further the scope of allylic coupling reactions of tertiary carbon radicals generated by visible-light photocatalysis, we examined the reaction of a selection of (N-acyloxy)-phthalimides with α -(bromomethyl)styrene (14) (Table 5). In all cases, the product of 2-phenylallylation was isolated in high yield (71–91%). The coupling reactions of (N-acyloxy)-phthalimides 3 and 5, derived from gemfibrozil and 18- β -glycyrrhetinic acid, illustrate the utility of (N-acyloxy)-phthalimide derivatives to efficiently elaborate drug and natural product carboxylic acids to products containing new quaternary carbons (entries 3 and 4). Diastereoselectivity in the coupling

of chiral *N*-(acyloxy)phthalimide **5** with allylic bromide **14** was only 3:1, reflecting the lack of dominant steric influence in the proximity to C19 in the $18-\beta$ -glycyrrhetinic acid series.

Evaluating the Generality of the Radical Coupling in the Absence of Ru(bpy)₃²⁺. After observing during our optimization studies that the reductive coupling of (Nacyloxy)phthalimides with electron-deficient alkenes occurs in the absence of the Ru(bpy)₃²⁺ photocatalyst (Table 1, entries 13 and 14), we investigated further the generality of this reaction. As our preliminary studies had shown that the reductive coupling with MVK was significantly slower in the absence of the photocatalyst, reactions were carried out for 18 h (Table 6). Reductive coupling of N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 4 with MVK or acrylonitrile provided products 9 and 22 in 61% and 57% yield, respectively (entries 1 and 2). Attempted reactions of N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 4 with methacrylonitrile or three cyclopent-1-ene-1-carbonitriles afforded no products of reductive coupling (entries 4-7). In these four cases, both coupling partners were recovered in high yield.

Allylic and vinylic substitution reactions were also surveyed in the absence of the $\operatorname{Ru}(\operatorname{bpy})_3^{2+}$ photocatalyst (Table 7). In contrast to the results of the reductive coupling reactions, allylic substitution reactions of N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 4 with α -(chloromethyl)- and α -(bromomethyl)styrene afforded allylated product 15 in identical high yields to that observed in the presence of $\operatorname{Ru}(\operatorname{bpy})_3(\operatorname{PF}_6)_2$. The allylic substitution product 16 and the vinylic substitution products 17 and 18 were also formed in the absence of the photocatalyst, although in these cases yields were approximately 40% lower than those realized in the presence of the photocatalyst (Table 4). Attempted coupling of styrene with N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 4 in the

Table 5. Visible-Light Photocatalytic Coupling of Various Tertiary N-(Acyloxy)phthalimides with $(\alpha$ -Bromomethyl)styrene $(14)^a$

entry	N-(acyloxy)phthalimide	product (yield) ^b
1	Me N	Me Ph 15 (74%)
2	0.N	Ph 19 (71%)
3	Me Me Ne O	Me
4	Me Me Me Me Me 5	Me Me Me Ph Me Ph Me 21 (74%, dr = 3:1)

"N-(Acyloxy)phthalimide (1 equiv), Ru(bpy)₃(PF₆)₂ (1 mol %), **14** (1.5 equiv), Hantzsch ester **8** (1.5 equiv), i-Pr₂NEt (2.2 equiv), blue LEDs, 0.15 M in CH₂Cl₂, rt, 18 h. ^bIsolated yield after silica gel chromatography (average of two experiments).

Table 6. Reaction of N-(Acyloxy)phthalimide 4 with Various Alkenes in the Absence of a Photocatalyst

entry	alkene acceptor	product (yield) ^a or conversion
1	Me	9 (61%)
2	CN	Me CN 22 (57%)
3		10 (43%) ^b
4	Me CN	no conversion ^c
5	NC.	no conversion ^c
6	NC OBz	no conversion ^c
υ		no conversion
7	NC Br	no conversion ^c

^aIsolated yield after silica gel chromatography. ^b50% of 2-cyclopentenone was recovered. ^cBoth 4 and the alkene acceptor were recovered in >90% yield.

Table 7. Substitution Products Formed from Visible-Light Photocatalytic Coupling of Selected Allylic and Vinylic Bromides and Chlorides with N-(Acyloxy)phthalimide 4 in the Absence of Ru(bpy) $_3(PF_6)_2^a$

 a Isolated yield after silica gel chromatography. b 5 equiv of the acceptor were used.

absence of $Ru(bpy)_3(PF_6)_2$ gave only trace amounts of product 13 (see eq 3) resulting from recombination of benzylic radical intermediates.

Mechanistic Investigations. The basic mechanism originally suggested by Okada for the formation of carbon radicals upon visible-light irradiation of N-(acyloxy)phthalimides in the

presence of a dihydropyridine reductant and catalytic Ru- $(bpy)_3^{2+}$ (vide infra)¹ is consistent with the results of our investigations. However, our experimental results suggest that the termination of the reductive coupling of N-(acyloxy)-phthalimides with electron-deficient alkenes can take place by two pathways (Scheme 1). After addition of a tertiary radical to

Scheme 1. Possible Termination Pathways in Reductive Coupling of Tertiary Radicals and Alkenes

a C-C π -bond, the product radical can be terminated either by hydrogen atom abstraction (path A) or by a two-step process of single-electron transfer followed by protonation of the resulting anion (path B).

To probe the role of the Hantzsch ester in the termination sequence, we examined the coupling of N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 4 with MVK employing 4,4-dideuterio Hantzsch ester 23 (eq 4). Coupled product 9 was obtained from this reaction in

47% yield and was determined to have only 33% deuterium incorporation (at C2 of the butanone side chain). This result contrasts sharply with the essentially complete deuterium incorporation observed in the related coupling of the corresponding *N*-phthalimidoyl oxalate. As the protic acid generated by oxidation of dideutero Hantzsch ester 23 would be a mixture of protio and deuterio species, the low level of deuterium incorporation in product 9 (eq 4) is consistent with significant termination by the two-step electron transfer/protonation sequence.

To examine in more depth the termination stage of reductive coupling of N-(acyloxy)phthalimides with alkenes, we investigated coupling reactions of N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 4 with various α,β -unsaturated nitriles containing a leaving group at the allylic α' position, a strategy introduced in the preceding article. Coupling of 1-methyl-1-cyclohexyl N-(acyloxy)-phthalimide (4) with cyanocyclopentene allylic benzoate 24, under our optimized conditions for reductive couplings, gave products 25 and 26 in 28% and 45% yield, respectively (Scheme 2). The product of reductive coupling was formed as a mixture of four stereoisomers, with the major isomer being represented by structure 25. As β -scission of intermediate E to eject a high-energy benzoyloxy radical is implausible, the significant formation of allylic substitution product 26 requires

Scheme 2. Products Formed upon Visible-Light Photoredox Coupling of N-(Acyloxy)phthalimide 4 with Cyanocyclopentene Allylic Benzoate 24

that the intermediate radical E first suffers single-electron reduction to α -cyanocarbanion F. ¹⁸

To examine whether the nature of the radical precursor, or more likely the reaction conditions used for radical generation, has an effect on the termination mechanism, N-(acyloxy)-phthalimide 4 was allowed to react with cyclopentene allylic benzoate 24 under the conditions typically used for the reductive coupling of N-phthalimidoyl oxalates (eq 5). In this

case, only products of reductive coupling were obtained (67% yield). As the conditions of the reactions reported in Scheme 2 and eq 5 differ most notably in the presence of *i*-Pr₂NEt in the former, the electron-rich trialkylamine appeared to be potentially critical for single-electron reduction of the coupled radical E to generate intermediate carbanion F of Scheme 2.

To further study the role of $i\text{-}\mathrm{Pr_2}\mathrm{NEt}$ in the termination process, we subjected $N\text{-}(\mathrm{acyloxy})$ phthalimide 4 to photoredox-catalyzed coupling with cyclopentene 24, omitting the Hantzsch ester 8 (eq 6). This experiment resulted in the

exclusive formation of allylic substitution product **26** in 36% yield, with no trace of product **25** of reductive coupling being observed. These results implicate the combination of i-Pr₂NEt and the photocatalyst as the single-electron donors in the reduction of the initially formed product radical, $^{20-22}$ and show that the aminium radical cation generated upon oxidation of the amine during the course of the reaction does not act as a hydrogen-atom donor in the termination step.

As expected, the coupling of N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 4 with cyclopentenyl bromide 27 provided only the allylic substitution product 26 (eq 7). As 26 was also formed exclusively in the

coupling of 1-methyl-1-cyclohexyl N-phthalimidoyl oxalate with bromoalkene 27, a process that undoubtedly involves homolytic β -scission, single-electron reduction would not be required for the formation of substitution product 26 in the transformation depicted in eq 7.

We conclude with one additional example of the critical role the stoichiometric reductant can play. As already described, the coupling of N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 4 with α -(bromomethyl)-styrene (14) proceeded in the absence of the photocatalyst (Table 7) or i-Pr₂NEt, albeit the latter in poorer yield (Table 3, entries 1 and 8). In contrast, the reaction of N-(acyloxy)-phthalimide 4 with α -(acetoxymethyl)styrene (28) fails in the absence of either i-Pr₂NEt or Ru(bpy)₃(PF₆)₂ (eq 8).

DISCUSSION

On the basis of our investigation and existing precedent, 1,2 we propose the following mechanism for the coupling of tertiary N-(acyloxy)phthalimides with conjugate acceptors (Scheme 3). Irradiation of Ru(bpy)₃²⁺ with visible light generates the excited-state catalyst Ru(bpy)₃^{2+*}, which is reductively quenched by i-Pr2NEt or the Hantzsch ester 8 to provide the strong reductant Ru(bpy)₃⁺. The N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 29 then receives an electron from Ru(bpy)₃⁺ ($E_{1/2} = -1.33 \text{ V}$ vs SCE), or potentially, but less likely, from the Hantzsch ester intermediate H that is produced in the termination step (vide infra), to transiently form radical anion I. Homolytic fragmentation and decarboxylation of I releases phthalimide or phthalimide anion, CO2, and the tertiary radical intermediate A. Addition of this radical to a conjugate acceptor generates stabilized radical J, which can be terminated either by hydrogen-atom abstraction from dihydropyridine 8 or derived

Scheme 3. Proposed Mechanism for the $Ru(bpy)_3^{2+}$ -Catalyzed Coupling of Tertiary N-(Acyloxy)phthalimides with MVK in the Presence of Visible Light

$$[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2^+}$$

$$[Ru($$

Scheme 4. Potential Chain Mechanism for the Visible-Light Promoted Coupling of Tertiary N-(Acyloxy)phthalimides with MVK in the Absence of $Ru(bpy)_3^{2+}$

electron transfer-fragmentation
$$\begin{array}{c} & & & & & & & & & \\ R_1^1 & & & & & & \\ R_2^2 & & & & & & \\ R_2^2 & & & & & \\ R_2^2 & & & & & \\ M_2^2 & & & & \\ R_2^2 & & & & \\ R_3^2 & & & & \\ \end{array}$$

intermediate G or by single-electron transfer from Ru(bpy)_3^+ followed by protonation to provide the product 30.

We turn to consider the related transformation in the absence of the photocatalyst, a transformation that is poorly

understood at this time. Owing to the observed requirement of the Hantzsch ester 8 for significant reaction progress (Table 1, entry 11), the observed reactivity in the absence of $\operatorname{Ru}(\operatorname{bpy})_3^{2+}$ most likely is mediated by the Hantzsch ester. This suggestion

would be consistent with Okada's observation of a related transformation in the absence of Ru(bpy) $_3^{2+}$ under reaction conditions in which a dihydropyridine was the only reductant present (see eq 1). The noncatalyzed reaction could be initiated by oxidation of the Hantzsch ester 8 by trace amounts of oxygen to form intermediate **G** (depicted in Scheme 3), 24,25 or potentially by electron transfer from photoexcited 8 to the N-(acyloxy)phthalimide. Loss of a proton from radical cation **G** would form the vinylogous α -amino radical **H**. Intermediate **H** is a strong one-electron reductant ($E_{1/2} = -0.71$ V vs SCE); 26 however, not sufficiently strong that rapid electron transfer to a N-(acyloxy)phthalimide ($E_{1/2} = -1.26$ to -1.37 V vs SCE) 6,27 would be expected. Presumably single-electron transfer form **H** occurs in concert with cleavage of the N–O bond of the N-(acyloxy)phthalimide. The propagation steps of the resulting chain reaction are depicted in Scheme 4.

The observation that the visible-light reductive coupling is slower in the absence of a photocatalyst, and succeeds only with highly reactive coupling partners, would be consistent with a chain mechanism (Scheme 4). However, much additional investigation will be required to elucidate in any detail the mechanism of the reductive coupling in the absence of the photocatalyst.

CONCLUSION

N-(Acyloxy)phthalimide derivatives of tertiary carboxylic acids are shown to be excellent precursors of tertiary radicals upon visible-light irradiation in the presence of 1 mol % of commercially available Ru(bpy)₃(PF₆)₂, 1.5 equiv of Hantzsch ester 8, and 2.2 equiv of i-Pr₂NEt in dichloromethane at room temperature. Tertiary radicals generated in this way reductively couple with a variety of electron-deficient alkenes and undergo substitution reactions with allylic and vinylic halides, in moderate to excellent yields to form new C-C σ -bonds and new quaternary centers. In nearly all cases examined, the yields of these photocatalytic reactions were higher than the analogous transformations of the corresponding N-phthalimidoyl oxalates.⁶ In some cases, the coupling of N-(acyloxy)phthalimide derivatives of tertiary carboxylic acids can be accomplished in the absence of the photocatalyst Ru- $(bpy)_3(PF_6)_2$; however, this reaction is of less preparative significance, because it is slower than the photocatalytic reaction and only succeeds with highly reactive radical acceptors.

The ability to include or exclude the electron-rich trialkylamine $i\text{-Pr}_2\text{NEt}$ in photocatalytic reactions of N-(acyloxy)-phthalimides allows one to dictate whether the coupling reaction is terminated by hydrogen-atom transfer or single-electron reduction followed by protonation. With some alkene radical acceptors, this choice can dictate the reaction outcome.

The ease of synthesis and purification and high crystallinity of N-(acyloxy)phthalimides, together with their efficient photocatalytic generation of tertiary radicals at room temperature upon irradiation with visible light, combine to make these carboxylic acid derivatives highly attractive precursors of tertiary carbon radicals for use in C-C bond formation.

■ EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials and Methods. Unless stated otherwise, reactions were conducted in oven-dried glassware under an atmosphere of nitrogen or argon using anhydrous solvents (either freshly distilled or passed through activated alumina columns). For all radical coupling reactions, CH₂Cl₂ was sparged with argon for 5 min prior to use. Commercially

obtained reagents were used as received. Ru(bpy)₃(PF₆)₂ was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Methyl vinyl ketone (MVK), acrylonitrile, benzyl methacrylate, and methacrylonitrile were distilled from neat solutions prior to use. Hantzsch ester 8 is commercially available; however, we prepared it by a straightforward literature procedure. ³¹ The 4,4-d₂prepared it by a straightforward literature procedure. The 4,4- a_2 -Hantzsch ester 23, 143 i-Pr₂NEt·HBF₄, 8 methyl 2-(bromomethyl)-acrylate, 32 methyl 2-(chloromethyl)acrylate, 33 (E)-methyl 3-bromoacrylate, 34 α -(chloromethyl)styrene, 35 α -(bromomethyl)styrene (14), 35 and α -(acetoxymethyl)styrene (28) 36 were prepared according to literature procedures. The syntheses of 2, 3 7, 4 24, 6 and 27 6 have been reported previously. Usually one representative coupling reaction and yield of the product is described in detail; isolated yields reported in Results are the average yields obtained from duplicate experiments. Reaction temperatures were controlled using a temperature modulator, and unless stated otherwise, reactions were performed at room temperature (rt, approximately 23 °C). Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was conducted with E. Merck silica gel 60 F254 precoated plates (0.25 mm) and was visualized by exposure to UV light (254 nm) or anisaldehyde, ceric ammonium molybdate, iodine, or potassium permanganate. EMD silica gel 60 (particle size 0.040-0.063 mm) was used for flash column chromatography. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded at 500 or 600 MHz and are reported relative to deuterated solvent signals. Data for ¹H NMR spectra are reported as follows: chemical shift (δ ppm), multiplicity, coupling constant (Hz), and integration. ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded at 125 or 150 MHz. Data for 13C NMR spectra are reported in terms of chemical shift. IR spectra were recorded on an FT-IR spectrometer and are reported in terms of frequency of absorption (cm⁻¹). Blue LEDs (30 cm, 1 W) were purchased from Creative Lighting (http://www.creativelightings. com, product code CL-FRS5050-12WP-12 V) and powered by 8 AA

N-(Acyloxy)phthalimide 1. A round-bottom flask was charged with adamantane-1-carboxylic acid (1.08 g, 6.00 mmol, 1 equiv) and THF (28 mL) under argon. After sequential addition of Nhydroxyphthalimide (1.64 g, 10.0 mmol, 1.66 equiv), DMAP (35 mg, 0.29 mmol, 0.05 equiv), and N,N'-diisopropylcarbodiimide (1.4 mL, 8.93 mmol, 1.5 equiv), the reaction mixture was maintained at rt with stirring overnight. After this time, the heterogeneous mixture was filtered and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (10% EtOAc/ hexanes). Subsequent recrystallization from hot hexanes gave 1 (1.75 g, 5.38 mmol, 90%) as a colorless solid. R_f 0.53 (20% EtOAc/ hexanes); mp: 143–144 °C; 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.88– 7.86 (m, 2H), 7.78-7.76 (m, 2H), 2.14 (s, 6H), 2.10 (s, 3H), 1.78 (s, 6H); 13 C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 173.4, 162.3, 134.8, 129.2, 124.0, 40.7, 38.6, 36.3, 27.8; IR (thin film): 2907, 2852, 1776, 1741, 1466, 1356 cm $^{-1}$; HRMS-CI (m/z) [M + NH $_4$] $^+$ calculated for C₁₉H₁₉NO₄NH₄ 343.1658, found 343.1643.

N-(Acyloxy)phthalimide 3. Following the procedure described for the preparation of 1, gemfibrozil (1.50 g, 6.00 mmol, 1 equiv), *N*-hydroxyphthalimide (1.64 g, 10.0 mmol, 1.66 equiv), DMAP (35 mg, 0.29 mmol, 0.05 equiv), and *N*,*N*′-diisopropylcarbodiimide (1.4 mL, 8.90 mmol, 1.5 equiv) in THF (28 mL) gave, after purification of the crude product by silica gel chromatography (10% EtOAc/hexanes), 3 (2.12 g, 5.37 mmol, 90%) as a colorless solid. R_f 0.52 (20% EtOAc/hexanes); mp: 79–80 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.89–7.88 (m, 2H), 7.80–7.78 (m, 2H), 7.00 (d, J = 7.2, 1H), 6.67–6.65 (m, 2H), 4.03–4.00 (m, 2H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 2.19 (s, 3H), 1.97–1.92 (m, 4H), 1.45 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 173.9, 162.2, 157.1, 136.6, 134.8, 130.4, 129.2, 124.0, 123.7, 120.8, 112.1, 67.8, 42.1, 37.5, 25.3, 25.1, 21.6, 15.9; IR (thin film): 2923, 2870, 1782, 1744, 1509, 1468, 1370, 1264, 1130, 1043 cm⁻¹; HRMS-ESI (m/z) [M + H]⁺ calculated for $C_{23}H_{25}NO_5H$ 396.1811, found 396.1823.

N-(Acyloxy)phthalimide 4. A round-bottom flask was charged with 1-methyl-1-cyclohexanecarboxylic acid (5.00 g, 35.2 mmol, 1 equiv), *N*-hydroxyphthalimide (8.61 g, 52.8 mmol, 1.5 equiv), and *N*,*N*′-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (10.90 g, 52.8 mmol, 1.5 equiv) under argon. After sequential addition of THF (350 mL) and DMAP (430 mg, 3.52 mmol, 0.1 equiv), the reaction mixture was maintained at rt with stirring overnight. After this time, the heterogeneous mixture was

concentrated under reduced pressure and the resulting residue suspended in Et₂O (400 mL). The mixture was filtered through cotton, transferred to a separatory funnel, and washed with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (3 × 200 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (7% EtOAc/hexanes) to give 4 (9.05 g, 31.5 mmol, 90%) as a colorless solid. $R_{\rm f}$ 0.26 (10% EtOAc/hexanes); mp: 52–54 °C; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.90–7.84 (m, 2H), 7.79–7.75 (m, 2H), 2.26–2.20 (m, 2H), 1.69–1.51 (m, 5H), 1.42 (s, 3H), 1.40–1.34 (m, 2H), 1.33–1.23 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 173.7, 162.3, 134.7, 129.2, 123.9, 43.2, 35.8, 26.8, 25.5, 23.1; IR (thin film): 2934, 2860, 1807, 1782, 1743 cm⁻¹; HRMS-ESI (m/z) [M + Na]⁺ calculated for $C_{16}H_{17}NO_4Na$ 310.1055, found 310.1051.

N-(Acyloxy)phthalimide 5. A round-bottom flask was charged with 18β -glycyrrhetinic acid (1.00 g, 2.12 mmol, 1 equiv) and THF (21 mL) under argon. After sequential addition of N-hydroxyphthalimide (520 mg, 3.19 mmol, 1.5 equiv), DMAP (52 mg, 0.43 mmol, 0.2 equiv), and N,N'-diisopropylcarbodiimide (0.4 mL, 2.6 mmol, 1.2 equiv), the reaction mixture was maintained at rt while stirring overnight. After this time, the heterogeneous mixture was filtered and the filtrate quenched with saturated aqueous NaHCO3 (20 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O (3 \times 50 mL). The combined organic layer was dried over MgSO4, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (20-40% EtOAc/hexanes) to give 5 (1.02 g, 1.66 mmol, 78%) as a colorless solid. R_f 0.27 (40% EtOAc/hexanes); mp 279–283 °C (dec); $[\alpha]^{D}_{24}$ +179, $[\alpha]^{577}_{24}$ +188, $[\alpha]^{546}_{24}$ +216, $[\alpha]^{435}_{24}$ +384, $[\alpha]^{405}_{24}$ +486 (c 0.78 (CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.89-7.87 (m, 2H), 7.80-7.78 (m, 2H), 5.76 (s, 1H), 3.22 (dd, J =11.0, J = 5.2, 1H), 2.78 (td, J = 6.6, 3.1, 1H), 2.54 (dd, J = 13.4, J = 3.3, 1H), 2.33 (s, 1H), 2.13 (d, J = 13.5, 1H), 2.09–2.01 (m, 2H), 1.86 (dt, J = 13.6, 4.5, 1H), 1.78 (t, J = 13.8, 1H), 1.69–1.58 (m, 5H), 1.51– 1.40 (m, 7H), 1.38 (s, 3H), 1.34–1.24 (m, 1H), 1.21 (d, I = 13.4, 1H), 1.14 (s, 3H), 1.12 (s, 3H), 1.09-1.04 (m, 1H), 1.00 (s, 3H), 0.98-0.93 (m, 1H), 0.91 (s, 3H), 0.80 (s, 3H), 0.70 (d, J = 11.0, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 200.2, 172.7, 168.5, 162.2, 134.9, 129.2, 129.0, 124.1, 78.9, 61.9, 55.1, 47.8, 45.5, 44.0, 43.2, 41.3, 39.3, 39.2, 37.4, 37.2, 32.9, 32.0, 31.6, 28.5, 28.2, 28.1, 27.4, 26.6, 26.5, 23.5, 18.8, 17.6, 16.5, 15.7; IR (thin film): 3519, 2968, 2931, 2868, 1806, 1782, 1744, 1655, 1039 cm⁻¹; HRMS-ESI (m/z) [M + Na]⁺ calculated for C₃₈H₄₉NO₆Na 638.3458, found 638.3438.

4-(1-Methylcyclohexyl)butan-2-one (9). (Table 1, entry 1, and general procedure for optimization experiments). A 1-dram vial was charged with N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 4 (75 mg, 0.26 mmol, 1 equiv), $Ru(bpy)_3(PF_6)_2$ (2 mg, 2.6 μ mol, 0.01 equiv), Hantzsch ester 8 (100 mg, 0.39 mmol, 1.5 equiv), and a magnetic stir bar under argon. After sequential addition of CH_2Cl_2 (1.7 mL, sparged with Ar for 5 min), methyl vinyl ketone (33 μ L, 0.39 mmol, 1.5 equiv), and i-Pr₂NEt (100 μ L, 0.57 mmol, 2.2 equiv), the vial was capped and placed in the center of a 30 cm-loop of blue LEDs (see Supporting Information for a picture of the reaction setup). The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h, after which time it was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (2.5% EtOAc/hexanes) to provide 9 (40 mg, 0.24 mmol, 91%) as a colorless oil. Characterization data obtained for 9 matched those previously reported.

3-(1-Methylcyclohexyl)cyclopentan-1-one (10). Following the general procedure for optimization experiments, N-(acyloxy)-phthalimide **4** (75 mg, 0.26 mmol, 1 equiv), Ru(bpy)₃(PF₆)₂ (2 mg, 2 μ mol, 0.01 equiv), Hantzsch ester **8** (100 mg, 0.39 mmol, 1.5 equiv), cyclopentenone (33 μ L, 0.39 mmol, 1.5 equiv), and i-Pr₂NEt (100 μ L, 0.57 mmol, 2.2 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.7 mL, sparged with Ar for 5 min) gave **10** (37 mg, 0.21 mmol, 80%) as a colorless oil. Characterization data obtained for **10** matched those previously reported. S

(±)-Benzyl 2-Methyl-3-(1-methylcyclohexyl)propanoate (11). Following the general procedure for optimization experiments, N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 4 (75 mg, 0.26 mmol, 1 equiv), Ru-(bpy)₃(PF₆)₂ (2 mg, 2.6 μ mol, 0.01 equiv), Hantzsch ester 8 (100 mg, 0.39 mmol, 1.5 equiv), benzyl methacrylate (66 μ L, 0.39 mmol,

1.5 equiv), and $i\text{-Pr}_2\text{NEt}$ (100 μL , 0.57 mmol, 2.2 equiv) in CH $_2\text{Cl}_2$ (1.7 mL, sparged with Ar for 5 min) gave a crude product. The yield of 11 (59%) was determined by examining the relative integration of NMR signals in this crude mixture using an internal standard (1,4-dimethoxybenzene). An analytically pure sample of 11 was obtained by silica gel chromatography (2.5% EtOAc/hexanes) to provide 11 as a colorless oil. Characterization data for 11 are included in the preceding article.

(±)-2-Methyl-3-(1-methylcyclohexyl)propanenitrile (12). Following the general procedure for optimization experiments, N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 4 (75 mg, 0.26 mmol, 1 equiv), Ru(bpy)₃(PF₆)₂ (2 mg, 2.6 μmol, 0.01 equiv), Hantzsch ester 8 (100 mg, 0.39 mmol, 1.5 equiv), methacrylonitrile (33 μL, 0.39 mmol, 1.5 equiv), and i-Pr₂NEt (100 μL, 0.57 mmol, 2.2 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.7 mL, sparged with Ar for 5 min) gave 12 (36 mg, 0.22 mmol, 83%) as a colorless oil. R_f 0.47 (10% EtOAc/hexanes); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.64–2.57 (m, 1H), 1.77 (dd, J = 14.1, 13.4, 1H), 1.58–1.4 (m, SH), 1.39–1.22 (m, 8H), 0.97 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 124.5, 38.0, 37.6, 33.2, 26.3, 22.0, 21.9, 20.8, 20.2; IR (thin film): 2927, 2853, 2237, 1455, 1382 cm⁻¹; HRMS-ESI (m/z) [M + Na]⁺ calculated for C₁₁H₁₉NNa 188.1415, found 188.1408.

(±)-(1,4-Bis(1-methylcyclohexyl)butane-2,3-diyl)dibenzene (13) (eq 3). A 1-dram vial was charged with N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 4 (43 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1 equiv), Ru(bpy)₃(PF₆)₂ (1 mg, 1.5 μmol, 0.01 equiv), Hantzsch ester 8 (57 mg, 0.23 mmol, 1.5 equiv), and a magnetic stir bar under argon. After sequential addition of CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL, sparged with Ar for 5 min), styrene (26 μL, 0.23 mmol, 1.5 equiv), and i-Pr₂NEt (55 μL, 0.33 mmol, 2.2 equiv), the vial was capped and placed in the center of a 30 cm loop of blue LEDs. The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h, after which it was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (100% pentane) to provide 13 (25 mg, 0.063 mmol, 83%), a 1:1 mixture of stereoisomers, as a colorless solid. Characterization data for 13 are included in the preceding article.

(3-(1-Methylcyclohexyl)prop-1-en-2-yl)benzene (15) (Table 3, entry 1, coupling with α -(bromomethyl)styrene and general procedure for allylic and vinylic substitution). A 1-dram vial was charged with N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 4 (43 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1 equiv), Ru(bpy) $_3(PF_6)_2$ (1 mg, 1.5 μ mol, 0.01 equiv), Hantzsch ester 8 (57 mg, 0.23 mmol, 1.5 equiv), and a magnetic stir bar under argon. After sequential addition of CH_2Cl_2 (1 mL, sparged with Ar for 5 min), α -(bromomethyl)styrene (14) (33 μ L, 0.23 mmol, 1.5 equiv), and i-Pr $_2NEt$ (55 μ L, 0.33 mmol, 2.2 equiv), the vial was capped and placed in the center of a 30 cm loop of blue LEDs. The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h, after which it was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (100% pentane) to provide 15 (24 mg, 0.11 mmol, 74%) as a colorless oil. Characterization data for 15 are included in the preceding article.

Preparation of 15 from α -(Chloromethyl)styrene. In an identical fashion, N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 4 (43 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1 equiv) was coupled with α -(chloromethyl)styrene (32 μ L, 0.23 mmol, 1.5 equiv) to provide 15 (26 mg, 0.12 mmol, 82%).

Methyl 2-((1-Methylcyclohexyl)methyl)acrylate (16). In an identical fashion, N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 4 (43 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1 equiv) was coupled with methyl 2-(bromomethyl)acrylate (27 μ L, 0.23 mmol, 1.5 equiv) to give a crude residue, which was purified by silica gel chromatography (3% diethyl ether/pentane) to provide 16 (25 mg, 0.13 mmol, 83%) as a colorless oil. Characterization data for 16 are included in the preceding article.

Preparation of 16 from Methyl 2-(Chloromethyl)acrylate. In an identical fashion, N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 4 (43 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1 equiv) was coupled with methyl 2-(chloromethyl)acrylate (26 μ L, 0.23 mmol, 1.5 equiv) to provide 16 (25 mg, 0.13 mmol, 83%) as a colorless oil.

Methyl (*E*)-3-(1-Methylcyclohexyl)acrylate (17). In an identical fashion, N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 4 (43 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1 equiv) was coupled with (*E*)-methyl 3-bromoacrylate (72 μ L, 0.75 mmol, 5 equiv) to provide a crude residue, which was purified by silica gel chromatography (5% diethyl ether/pentane) to give 17 (16 mg,

0.088 mmol, 59%) as a colorless oil. Characterization data for 17 are included in the preceding article.⁶

(*E*)-(2-(1-Methylcyclohexyl)vinyl)benzene (18). In an identical fashion, N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 4 (43 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1 equiv) was coupled with β -bromostyrene (97 μ L, 0.75 mmol, 5 equiv) to give a crude residue, which was purified by silica gel chromatography (100% pentane) to provide 18 (18 mg, 0.089 mmol, 59%) as a colorless oil. Characterization data for 18 are included in the preceding article.⁶

1-(2-Phenylallyl)adamantane (19). In an identical fashion, N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 1 (49 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1 equiv) was coupled with α -(bromomethyl)styrene (14) (33 μ L, 0.23 mmol, 1.5 equiv) to give a crude residue, which was purified by silica gel chromatography (100% pentane) to provide 19 (27 mg, 0.11 mmol, 72%) as a colorless solid. Characterization data for 19 are included in the preceding article.

2-((4,4-Dimethyl-6-phenylhept-6-en-1-yl)0xy)-1,4-dimethylbenzene (20). In an identical fashion, N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 3 (59 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1 equiv) was coupled with α -(bromomethyl)styrene (14) (33 μ L, 0.23 mmol, 1.5 equiv) to give a crude residue, which was purified by silica gel chromatography (0–2% diethyl ether/pentane) to provide 20 (44 mg, 0.14 mmol, 91%) as a colorless oil. R_f 0.16 (100% hexanes); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.41 (d, J = 7.4, 2H), 7.33 (t, J = 7.5, 2H), 7.28–7.25 (m, 1H), 7.04 (d, J = 7.5, 1H), 6.69 (d, J = 7.5, 1H), 6.61 (s, 1H), 5.28 (d, J = 1.9, 1H), 5.07 (s, 1H), 3.81 (t, J = 6.6, 2H), 2.54 (s, 2H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 2.21 (s, 3H), 1.79–1.72 (m, 2H), 1.37–1.31 (m, 2H), 0.82 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 157.2, 147.4, 144.0, 136.5, 130.4, 128.3, 127.1, 126.7, 123.7, 120.7, 116.9, 112.1, 68.7, 47.0, 38.6, 34.2, 27.8, 24.5, 21.6, 16.0; IR (thin film): 2953, 2925, 2867, 1616, 1585, 1509, 1469, 1265, 1157, 1130, 1044 cm⁻¹; HRMS-CI (m/z) [M + H]⁺ calculated for C₂₃H₃₀OH 323.2375, found 323.2386.

2-Phenylallylation of the N-(Acyloxy)phthalimide Derivative 5 of 18β -Glycyrrhetinic Acid to Form 21. In an identical fashion, N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 5 (92 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1 equiv) was coupled with α -(bromomethyl)styrene (14) (33 μ L, 0.23 mmol, 1.5 equiv). After 18 h, the reaction mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂, the resulting organic phase was washed with 4 M HCl (3 × 10 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (20-30% acetone/hexanes) to provide an inseparable 3:1 mixture of C19 epimers of 21 (61 mg, 0.11 mmol, 75%) as a colorless solid. Mixture of two epimers: R_f 0.53 (30% acetone/hexanes); mp 72-74 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.37–7.27 (m, 5.7H), 7.25–7.21 (m, 1H), 5.49 (s, 1H), 5.25 (d, J = 1.8, 1H), 5.21 (d, J = 1.7, 0.3H), 5.10 (s, 0.3H), 5.04 (s, 0.3H), 5.00 (s, 1H), 3.24–3.19 (m, 1.3H), 2.77 (d, I = 13.4, 1.3H), 2.72 (d, J = 13.3, 0.3H), 2.51 (d, J = 13.3, 1H), 2.43-2.36 (m, 1.3H), 2.25 (d, J = 14.5, 1.3H), 2.08-1.99 (m, 1.7H), 1.91-1.80 (m, 1.3H), 1.80-1.69 (m, 1.7H), 1.67-1.54 (m, 7.7), 1.45-1.31 (m, 6.7H), 1.29 (s, 1.3H), 1.26–1.19 (m, 2.3H), 1.18–1.02 (m, 14.7), 1.02–0.91 (m, 8H), 0.90-0.77 (m, 15H), 0.70-0.64 (m, 2.7H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 200.5, 200.4, 170.7, 170.1, 147.3, 146.5, 144.1, 143.8, 128.4, 128.3, 128.1, 127.4, 127.2, 126.7, 126.5, 117.3, 117.2, 78.9, 61.8, 55.0, 55.0, 50.7, 47.4, 46.9, 45.5, 43.4, 43.3, 43.2, 42.4, 40.4, 39.3, 37.2, 36.2, 36.1, 35.2, 34.8, 34.0, 32.9, 32.8, 32.5, 32.3, 32.2, 30.8, 29.0, 28.7, 28.2, 27.4, 26.8, 26.5, 26.4, 23.5, 23.2, 22.7, 18.8, 17.6, 16.5, 15.7; IR (thin film): 3435, 2925, 2863, 1654, 1461, 1386, 1208, 1044 cm⁻¹; HRMS-ESI (m/z) [M + Na]⁺ calculated for $C_{38}H_{54}O_2Na$ 565.4022, found 565,3996.

General Procedure for Coupling Reactions in the Absence of a Photocatalyst (Tables 6 and 7). Preparation of 9. A 1-dram vial was charged with N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 4 (75 mg, 0.26 mmol, 1 equiv), Hantzsch ester 8 (100 mg, 0.39 mmol, 1.5 equiv), and a magnetic stir bar under argon. After sequential addition of CH₂Cl₂ (1.7 mL, sparged with Ar for 5 min), methyl vinyl ketone (33 μ L, 0.39 mmol, 1.5 equiv), and i-Pr₂NEt (100 μ L, 0.57 mmol, 2.2 equiv), the vial was capped and placed in the center of a 30 cm loop of blue LEDs. The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h, after which time it was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (2.5% EtOAc/hexanes) to provide 9 (27 mg, 0.16 mmol, 61%) as a colorless oil. Characterization data obtained for 9 matched those previously reported. S

Deuterium Incorporation in Product 9 Using 4,4-d₂-**Hantzsch Ester 23.** A 1-dram vial was charged with N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 4 (75 mg, 0.26 mmol, 1 equiv), Ru(bpy)₃(PF₆)₂ (3 mg, 2.6 μ mol, 0.01 equiv), 4,4- d_2 -Hantzsch ester 23 (100 mg, 0.39 mmol, 1.5 equiv), and a magnetic stir bar under argon. After sequential addition of CH₂Cl₂ (1.7 mL, sparged with Ar for 5 min), methyl vinyl ketone (32 μ L, 0.39 mmol, 1.5 equiv), and i-Pr₂NEt (100 μ L, 0.57 mmol, 2.2 equiv), the vial was capped and placed in the center of a 30 cm loop of blue LEDs. The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h, after which time it was concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel chromatography (2.5% EtOAc/hexanes) provided ketone 9 (21 mg, 0.13 mmol, 48%) as a colorless oil. Deuterium incorporation was determined by comparing the relative ¹H NMR integrations of the α -keto methyl singlet resonance with the multiplet signal corresponding to protons at C2 (see ref 6 for an ¹H NMR spectrum of this product with high deuterium incorporation). ¹H NMR analysis determined the deuterium incorporation to be 33%.6

Preparation of the Product of Reductive Coupling 25 and **Allylation 26 (Scheme 2).** A 1-dram vial was charged with N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 4 (75 mg, 0.26 mmol, 1 equiv), Ru(bpy)₃(PF₆)₂ (3 mg, 2.6 µmol, 0.01 equiv), Hantzsch ester 8 (100 mg, 0.39 mmol, 1.5 equiv), and a magnetic stir bar under argon. After sequential addition of CH₂Cl₂ (1.7 mL, sparged with Ar for 5 min), acceptor⁶ 24 (84 mg, 0.39 mmol, 1.5 equiv), and i-Pr₂NEt (100 μ L, 0.57 mmol, 2.2 equiv), the vial was capped and placed in the center of a 30 cm loop of blue LEDs. The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h, after which time it was diluted with Et₂O (30 mL) and transferred to a separatory funnel. The ether layer was washed with aqueous 4 N HCl (4 \times 20 mL) and aqueous 2 N NaOH (3 × 20 mL) and dried over MgSO₄. The organic layer was filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was subjected to silica gel chromatography (4% acetone/hexanes) to provide 25 (23 mg, 0.07 mmol, 29%, dr 8:2:1:1) and 26 (25 mg, 0.13 mmol, 51%) as colorless oils. Characterization data for 25 and 26 are included in the preceding

Preparation of Reductive-Coupling Product 25 (eq 5). A 1dram vial was charged with N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 4 (100 mg, 0.35 mmol, 1.5 equiv), $Ru(bpy)_3(PF_6)_2$ (3 mg, 3.5 μ mol, 0.015 equiv), Hantzsch ester 8 (88 mg, 0.35 mmol, 1.5 equiv), i-Pr₂NEt·HBF₄ (50 mg, 0.23 mmol, 1 equiv), and a magnetic stir bar under argon. After sequential addition of THF (1.1 mL, sparged with Ar for 5 min), CH₂Cl₂ (1.1 mL, sparged with Ar for 5 min), and acceptor⁶ 24 (49 mg, 0.23 mmol, 1 equiv), the vial was capped and placed in the center of a 30 cm loop of blue LEDs. The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h, after which time it was diluted with Et₂O (30 mL) and transferred to a separatory funnel. The ether layer was washed with aqueous 4 N HCl (4 \times 20 mL) and aqueous 2 N NaOH (3 \times 20 mL) and dried over MgSO₄. The organic layer was filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was subjected to silica gel chromatography (4% acetone/hexanes) to provide 25 (52 mg, 0.17 mmol, 72%, dr 8:2:1:1) as a colorless oil.6

Preparation of Allylated Product 26 (eq 6). A 1-dram vial was charged with N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 4 (75 mg, 0.26 mmol, 1 equiv), Ru(bpy)₃(PF₆)₂ (3 mg, 2.6 μmol, 0.01 equiv), and a magnetic stir bar under argon. After sequential addition of CH₂Cl₂ (1.7 mL, sparged with Ar for 5 min), acceptor⁶ 24 (84 mg, 0.39 mmol, 1.5 equiv), and i-Pr₂NEt (100 μL, 0.57 mmol, 2.2 equiv), the vial was capped and placed in the center of a 30 cm loop of blue LEDs. The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h, after which time it was diluted with Et₂O (30 mL) and transferred to a separatory funnel. The ether layer was washed with aqueous 4 N HCl (4 × 20 mL) and aqueous 2 N NaOH (3 × 20 mL) and dried over MgSO₄. The organic layer was filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was subjected to silica gel chromatography (4% acetone/hexanes) to provide 26 (19 mg, 0.10 mmol, 39%) as colorless oils.⁶

Preparation of Allylated Product 26 (eq 7). A 1-dram vial was charged with N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 4 (75 mg, 0.26 mmol, 1 equiv), Ru(bpy)₃(PF₆)₂ (3 mg, 2.6 μ mol, 0.01 equiv), Hantzsch ester 8 (100 mg, 0.39 mmol, 1.5 equiv), and a magnetic stir bar under argon. After sequential addition of CH₂Cl₂ (1.7 mL, sparged with Ar for 5 min),

acceptor 6 27 (67 mg, 0.39 mmol, 1.5 equiv), and $i\text{-Pr}_2\text{NEt}$ (100 $\mu\text{L},$ 0.57 mmol, 2.2 equiv), the vial was capped and placed in the center of a 30 cm loop of blue LEDs. The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h, after which time it was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was subjected to silica gel chromatography (2% EtOAc/hexanes) to provide 26 (30 mg, 0.16 mmol, 60%) as a colorless oil. 6

Preparation of Allylated Product 15 (eq 8). A 1-dram vial was charged with N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 4 (43 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1 equiv), Ru(bpy)₃(PF₆)₂ (1 mg, 1.5 μ mol, 0.01 equiv), Hantzsch ester 8 (57 mg, 0.23 mmol, 1.5 equiv), and a magnetic stir bar under argon. After sequential addition of CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL, sparged with Ar for 5 min), α-(acetoxymethyl)styrene (28) (38 μ L, 0.23 mmol, 1.5 equiv), and i-Pr₂NEt (55 μ L, 0.33 mmol, 2.2 equiv), the vial was capped and placed in the center of a 30 cm loop of blue LEDs. The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h, after which it was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (100% pentane) to provide 15 (19 mg, 0.090 mmol, 60%) as a colorless oil.⁶

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Copies of ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of new compounds. The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b00795.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: leoverma@uci.edu.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support was provided by the National Science Foundation (CHE1265964) and the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (R01-GM098601). We thank the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for the support of G.P. by a Feodor Lynen Postdoctoral Research Fellowship and the ACS Organic Chemistry Division for partial support of G.L.L. by a Graduate Fellowship. NMR and mass spectra were determined at UC Irvine using instruments purchased with the assistance of NSF and NIH shared instrumentation grants. We are grateful to Dr. Martin Schnermann for early optimization of the generation of carbon radicals from *N*-(acyloxy)phthalimides in aprotic solvents, and Kyle B. Schenthal for assistance in synthesizing *N*-(acyloxy)phthalimide starting materials.

REFERENCES

- (1) Okada, K.; Okamoto, K.; Morita, N.; Okubo, K.; Oda, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 9401–9402.
- (2) For a comprehensive review of visible-light photocatalysis, which discusses the reactivity and electrochemical potentials of common photoredox catalysts, see: Prier, C. K.; Rankic, D. A.; MacMillan, D. W. C. Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 5322–5363. For a complementary method for generating carbon radicals from carboxylic acids using visible-light photocatalysis, see: Chu, L.; Ohta, C.; Zuo, Z.; MacMillan, D. W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 10886–10889.
- (3) Schnermann, M. J.; Overman, L. E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 9576–9580.
- (4) Müller, D. S.; Untiedt, N. L.; Dieskau, A. P.; Lackner, G. L.; Overman, L. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 660–663.
- (5) Lackner, G. L.; Quasdorf, K. W.; Overman, L. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2013**, 135, 15342–15345.
- (6) Lackner, G. L.; Quasdorf, K. W.; Pratsch, G.; Overman, L. E. J. Org. Chem. 2015. 10.1021/acs.joc.5b00794.
- (7) (a) Barton, D. H. R.; Serebryakov, E. P. A. *Proc. Chem. Soc.* **1962**, 309. (b) Barton, D. H. R.; Dowlatshahi, H. A.; Motherwell, W. B.;

- Villemin, D. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1980, 732–733. (c) Barton, D. H. R.; Crich, D.; Motherwell, W. B. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 4979–4982. (d) Barton, D.; Chern, C.-Y.; Jaszberenyi, J. Tetrahedron 1995, 51, 1867–1886. (e) For a brief review, see: Saraiva, M. F.; Couri, M. R. C.; Hyaric, M. L.; de Almeida, M. V. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 3563–3572.
- (8) Andrews, R. S.; Becker, J. J.; Gagné, M. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 7274–7276.
- (9) Compare the results reported in this table with the synthesis of the identical products reported in Table 5 of ref 6.
- (10) This latter reaction exhibited low conversion of the *N*-phthalimidoyl oxalate and rapid consumption of methacrylonitrile, suggesting that methacrylonitrile was polymerized under these conditions.¹¹
- (11) Similar photoredox catalysis conditions have been employed to initiate radical polymerization of methacrylates. See: Fors, B. F.; Hawker, C. J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 8850–8853.
- (12) Only a few radical addition—dimerization cascades of styrene derivatives are known in literature: (a) Schäfer, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1970, 9, 158–159. (b) Kambe, N.; Miyamoto, M.; Terao, J.; Watabe, H. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2003, 76, 2209–2214. (c) Shen, Z.-L.; Cheong, H.-L.; Loh, T.-P. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 1051–1054.
- (13) (a) Bockrath, B.; Bittner, E.; McGrewt, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 135–138. (b) Mayer, J. M. Acc. Chem. Res. 2011, 44, 36–46. (c) Wille, U. Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 813–853. (d) Crich, D.; Grant, D.; Krishnamurthy, V.; Patel, M. Acc. Chem. Res. 2007, 40, 453–463.
- (14) For other radical allylations realized using visible-light photocatalysis, see: (a) Larraufie, M.-H.; Pellet, R.; Fensterbank, L.; Goddard, J.-P.; Lacôte, E.; Malacria, M.; Ollivier, C. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 4463–4466. (b) Dai, X.; Cheng, D.; Guan, B.; Mao, W.; Xu, X.; Li, X. *J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, *79*, 7212–7219.
- (15) (a) Norcross, B. E.; Klinedinst, P. E., Jr.; Westheimer, F. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1962, 84, 797–802. (b) For recent use of 4,4-dideuterio-Hantzsch esters in studies of reactions promoted by visible-light photoredox catalysis, see: Neumann, M.; Zeitler, K. Chem.—Eur. J. 2013, 19, 6950–6955.
- (16) The position and amount of deuterium in product 9 was determined by integration of relevant signals by ¹H NMR analysis; see Experimental Section for details.
- (17) The relative configuration was assigned on the basis of diagnostic NOE enhancements observed upon irradiation of the α -cyano-methine hydrogens of the two major diastereomers isolated from this reaction. See ref 6 for details.
- (18) Resubjection of 25 to the reaction conditions in Scheme 2 resulted in full recovery of 25 and no detection of 26, suggesting that 26 is not formed from 25 by base-promoted elimination.
- (19) Unreacted N-(acyloxy)phthalimide 4 was recovered in 60% yield.
- (20) Ru(bpy)₃⁺ is the most reasonable electron-transfer agent under these conditions, as its reduction potential is much more negative ($E_{1/2}$ = -1.33 V vs SCE) than that of a tertiary amine (for Et₃N, the corresponding $E_{1/2}$ = +1.0 V vs SCE)²¹ and more negative than that of the α -amino radical formed from i-Pr₂NEt (for Et₃N, the corresponding $E_{1/2}$ = -1.12 V vs SCE).²²
- (21) Wayner, D. D. M.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Griller, D. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1986, 131, 189–191.
- (22) Wayner, D. D. M.; McPhee, D. J.; Griller, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 132–137.
- (23) Addition of a tertiary radical to **27**, followed by homolysis of the C–Br bond is the most plausible mechanism. An alternative pathway involving coupling of the tertiary radical with an allylic radical derived from **27** is unlikely, as products derived from allylic radical homodimerization were not observed.
- (24) Wang, D.; Liu, Q.; Chen, B.; Zhang, L.; Tung, C.; Wu, L. Chin. Sci. Bull. 2010, 55, 2855–2858.
- (25) However, we have been unable to experimentally verify this suggestion: Exclusion of oxygen from a coupling reaction to the best of our ability by use of the freeze—pump—thaw degassing method did not affect the yield of product 9 obtained after a reaction time of 2 h.

- (26) This potential is reported as -1.11 V vs ferrocene; see: Zhu, X.-Q.; Tan, Y.; Cao, C.-T. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2010**, *114*, 2058-2075.
- (27) Okada reports reduction potentials in the range of -1.28 to -1.37 V (vs SCE) for three *N*-(acyloxy)phthalimides in acetonitrile, see: Okada, K.; Okamoto, K.; Oda, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 8736–8738.
- (28) Savéant, J.-M. Electron Transfer, Bond Breaking and Bond Formation. In *Advances in Physical Organic Chemistry*; Tidwell, T. T., Ed.; Academic: New York, 2000, Vol. 35, pp 117–192.
- (29) (a) Owing to the low barrier for decarboxylation of carboxy radicals, K may well not be an intermediate, with decarboxylation occurring at the same time as fragmentation of the N–O bond. (b) The rate constant for the loss of CO₂ from a carboxyl radical is estimated to be on the order of 10⁹ s⁻¹; see: Togo, H. Advanced Free Radical Reactions for Organic Synthesis; Elsevier Science: New York, 2004.
- (30) Alternatively, although less likely in our view, electron transfer could be coupled with proton transfer to the phthalimide oxygen, avoiding the formation of an imide ketyl radical anion intermediate. For a comprehensive review of proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET), see: Warren, J. J.; Tronic, T. A.; Mayer, J. M. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 6961–7001. (b) For a detailed mechanistic investigation establishing concerted PCET in a Ru(bpy)₃²⁺ photocatalyzed ketone—alkene coupling, see: Taratino, K. T.; Liu, P.; Knowles, R. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 10022–10025.
- (31) Eey, S. T. C; Lear, M. J. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 5510-5513.
- (32) (a) Huang, H.; Liu, X.; Deng, J.; Qiu, M.; Zheng, Z. Org. Lett. **2006**, 8, 3359–3362. (b) Kippo, T.; Fukuyama, T.; Ryu, I. Org. Lett. **2011**, 13, 3864–3867.
- (33) (a) Reference 32a. (b) Young, W. G.; Caserio, F. F., Jr.; Brandon, D. D., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1960, 82, 6163–6168.
- (34) Ma, S.; Lu, X.; Li, Z. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 709-713.
- (35) Tripathi, C. B.; Mukherjee, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 8450-8453.
- (36) Hatch, L. F.; Patton, T. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1954, 76, 2705–2707.